# Thread locals galore

The only thing more evil than one singleton is multiple singletons

### **Types of variables**

- Locals (stored on the stack, or in registers)
- Heap allocations (stored on the heap)
- Statics (stored in a memory-mapped section of the executable!)
  - o (process-local)
- Thread-local storage

#### How do thread-locals work?

Every "local" is at an offset in the "thread local storage" area

- x86\_64-unknown-linux-gnu: %fs segment register
- aarch64-apple-darwin: TPIDR\_EL0
- etc.

The location of the "thread local storage" area is updated whenever switching threads.

That's it!

# **Complication 1**

Some types implement **Drop** — need to run them when the thread ends

## **Complication 2**

Some types' initialization is not **const**: it needs to be evaluated at runtime

# **Complication matrix**

```
//! Thread local support for platforms with native TLS.
//!
//! To achieve the best performance, we choose from four different types for
//! the TLS variable, depending on the method of initialization used (`const`
//! or lazy) and the drop requirements of the stored type:
//!
//! | 'Drop' | '!Drop' |
//! |----:|:-----:|
//! | `const` | `EagerStorage<T>` | `T`
//! | lazy | `LazyStorage<T, ()>` | `LazyStorage<T, !>` |
```

#### **Ultimately: one single interface**

(Hopefully optimized!)

Doesn't matter how the underlying storage works.

```
#[cfg_attr(not(test), rustc_diagnostic_item = "LocalKey")]
#[stable(feature = "rust1", since = "1.0.0")]
pub struct LocalKey<T: 'static> {
    // so we get around that by exposing an accessor through a layer of function
    // Note that the thunk is itself unsafe because the returned lifetime of the
    // here is actually slightly shorter than the currently running thread!
    // Although this is an extra layer of indirection, it should in theory be
    // changes and the constant should be readonly within a crate. This mainly
    inner: fn(Option<&mut Option<T>>) → *const T,
```

#### Where are thread-locals used?

- Async runtimes
  - Need to register timers, interest in I/O events, etc.
- Anything with a "register"
  - tracing-subscriber

#### Sane case: single binary

Single crate, depends on tokio:

- One copy of tokio code baked into binary
- tokio's CONTEXT thread-local defined in binary

# Sane case: crate-type = ["dylib"]

Some crate has type "dylib" and depends on tokio

- One copy of tokio code baked into libtokio.so
- tokio's CONTEXT thread-local defined in libtokio.so
- binary (and any other .so files) all depend on libtokio.so

# My case: crate-type = ["cdylib"]

One **binary** crate, and several "modules", built *separately* as **libmodfoo.so** and **libmodbar.so** — loaded dynamically at startup.

- N copies of tokio code, one in each object:
  - once in **binary**, once in **libmodfoo.so**, once in **libmodbar.so**
- N copies of tokio's CONTEXT thread-local:
  - once in **binary**, once in **libmodfoo.so**, once in **libmodbar.so**

## Solution: pass tokio context explicitly

Problem: not all crates accept an explicit "Executor"!

Can we just set the module's copy of tokio's CONTEXT thread-local?

Yes! Wrapper around Future that enters a runtime Handle every time.

But: spawning task that spawns a task defeats that.

# Solution: patch tokio

- Define tokio's CONTEXT thread-local only once
  - o In **binary**
- Enable external-tls feature in tokio for modules
  - ...which redefines the tokio\_thread\_local! Macro

### Solution: patch tokio

Define TOKIO\_CONTEXT in tls-slots crate (of type dylib)

```
lith on ? iggy-stuff [+]
> nm modtest/target/debug/libtls_slots.dylib | grep "D _CONTEXT"
00000000023c898 D _CONTEXT_THUNK
```

Have binary depend on tls-slots

Allow mods to have undefined symbols with -undefined dynamic\_lookup

#### Does it work?

Almost!

Statics ("process-local" variables) remain, and they seem to be messing with the multi-threaded executor.

(Workaround: spawn a future looping for 10ms in a loop — still doesn't work all the time)

The current\_thread executor is happy though!

#### **Next steps**

- Clean up solution (remove some indirection?)
- Apply same treatment to statics / "process-locals"
- Tackle tracing-subscriber

Will this get upstreamed? Unlikely. This is a cursed scenario. But who knows.